## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROLE N. HILDEBRAND, et. al., : CIVIL ACTION

•

Plaintiffs, : NO. 06-5439

:

.

DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

v.

:

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs' remaining claims are DISMISSED in their entirety for lack of subject matter jurisdiction;
- 2. Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Reconsideration of the Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order Entered January 26, 2010 (Dkt. No. 150) is DENIED as MOOT;
- 3. Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Class (Dkt. No. 36) is DENIED as MOOT;
- 4. Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses (Dkt. No. 41) is DENIED as MOOT;
- 5. Defendant's Request for Oral Argument (Dkt. No. 137) is DENIED as MOOT; and
- 6. Proposed Intervenors' Motion to Intervene or, in the Alternative, to Consolidate (Dkt. No. 153) is DENIED as MOOT.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ C. Darnell Jones, II

C. DARNELL JONES, II J.